



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/064,950

09/03/2002

Erin L. Conner

112231

4803

27074 7590 10/03/2008
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC.
P.O. BOX 320850
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3629

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/03/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction27074@oliff.com
jarmstrong@oliff.com

| | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/064,950 | Applicant(s) CONNER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Jonathan Ouellette | Art Unit 3629 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jonathan Ouellette. (3) ____.

(2) Matt Barthalow. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 25 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: All Independent Claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wasilewski.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Barthalow and Examiner Ouellette discussed the instant invention, the prior art and possible amendments to the independent claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|---|--|
| /Jonathan Ouellette/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3629 | |
|---|--|